

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

NATHAN BROOKS, et al.,

Plaintiffs

v.

CIVIL ACTION

HALSTED COMMUNICATIONS, LT,L

Defendants

NO. 07-30164-MAP

**REPORT RE: REFERENCE FOR
ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION**

TO JUDGE PONSOR

[X] The above entitled case was reported settled after referral to the ADR Program, but prior to ADR.

[] On _____ I held the following ADR proceeding:

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> SCREENING CONFERENCE | <input type="checkbox"/> EARLY NEUTRAL EVALUATION |
| <input type="checkbox"/> MEDIATION | <input type="checkbox"/> SUMMARY BENCH / JURY TRIAL |
| <input type="checkbox"/> MINI-TRIAL | <input type="checkbox"/> FOLLOWUP CONFERENCE |

[] All parties were represented by counsel.

[] The parties were present for mediation in person, by telephone or by authorized corporate officer or representative.

The case was:

[] Settled. A _____ day order of dismissal has been entered by the court.

[] There was progress. _____

[] Further efforts to settle this case at this time are, in my judgment, unlikely to be productive. This case should be restored to your schedule.

[] Suggested strategy to facilitate settlement:

[] Other: _____

November 25, 2009

DATE

/s/ Kenneth P. Neiman

ADR Provider

KENNETH P. NEIMAN, U.S. Magistrate Judge